Estradiol and cognitive function: Past, present and future
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A B S T R A C T
A historical perspective on estradiol’s enhancement of cognitive function is presented, and research, primarily in animals, but also in humans, is reviewed. Data regarding the mechanisms underlying the enhancements are discussed. Newer studies showing rapid effects of estradiol on consolidation of memory through membrane interactions and activation of inter-cellular signaling pathways are reviewed as well as studies focused on traditional genomic mechanisms. Recent demonstrations of intra-neuronal estradiol synthesis and possible actions as a neurosteroid to promote memory are discussed. This information is applied to the critical issue of the current lack of effective hormonal (or other) treatments for cognitive decline associated with menopause and aging. Finally, the critical period hypothesis for estradiol effects is discussed along with novel strategies for hormone/drug development. Overall, the historical record documents that estradiol positively impacts some aspects of cognitive function, but effective therapeutic interventions using this hormone have yet to be realized.

© 2014 The Author. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Contents

Introduction .................................................. 603
Defining cognition ............................................. 603
Areas and mechanisms for gonadal hormone action ............................................. 603
Developmental effects of gonadal hormones on cognition .............................. 604
Animal studies ............................................. 604
Human studies ............................................. 605
Adult cognition ............................................. 606
Introduction and caveats .................................... 606
Experimental design issues in assessing hormone effects ............................. 606
Type of “estrogen” ........................................... 606
Treatment dosage and timing ................................ 607
Life history ................................................... 607
Inter-actions between the HPG and HPA axes ............................................. 607
Indirect effects on psychological performance parameters ....................... 607
Does estradiol influence cognition? ................................................... 607
Estradiol enhancements of cognition — chronic effects .............................. 608
Animal studies ............................................. 608
Human studies ............................................. 608
Estradiol enhancements of cognition — acute effects .................................... 609
Background ................................................... 609
Post-training trial injections and memory enhancement .............................. 609
Neural mechanisms for chronic and acute effects of estrogens .................... 610
Background ................................................... 610
Dendritic spine density ........................................ 610
Cell signaling ............................................... 611

* Department of Psychology, Rm 611 HN, Hunter College, 695 Park Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA. Fax: +1 212 772 5620.
E-mail address: vluine@hunter.cuny.edu.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.08.011
0018-506X/© 2014 The Author. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
Evidence, accumulated over the past 25 years, shows that estradiol modulates cognitive function in animals and humans. Modulation begins in utero when estrogens direct sexual differentiation of various brain regions controlling reproduction and some cognitive functions. Gonadal hormone influences on the nervous system, including both estrogens and androgens, continue through adolescence and reach their zenith at adulthood when gonadal hormone secretions reach the highest levels. With aging, levels of circulating estrogens plummet and appear to contribute to age-related declines in learning and memory function. These hormone-dependent effects on cognition over the lifespan have been attributed to classic, genomic mechanisms — hormone binding to specific receptors, alterations in gene transcription and the initiation of organ-specific effects in target areas in the brain and peripheral organs. More recently, it has also been established that gonadal hormones, including estradiol, can act at membrane receptors to activate intra-cellular signaling mechanisms which alter cellular function. Moreover, there is increasing documentation that gonadal hormones are neurosteroids, that is, estrogens and androgens are synthesized locally in brain areas and thereby rapidly alter cognition and other neural functions. The physiological functions and implications for this mode of estrogen action are unknown at this time but present a novel area for investigation. Finally, in a more global way, estradiol also acts indirectly to maintain cognitive functions because it exerts neurotrophic effects within the brain. Thus, gonadal hormones, estradiol in particular, have a rich repertoire of possible mechanisms for influencing cognition.

This review provides a brief overview of studies establishing estrogen’s role in promoting cognition primarily in animal models but also in humans, and it discusses some data regarding the mechanisms underlying these influences. Newer studies demonstrating how estrogens rapidly alter learning and memory are discussed. The need for exploiting this information is emphasized as it may provide an entirely novel area for therapeutic interventions for memory loss. The failure of current hormone replacement therapy in post-menopausal women is used to highlight the importance of implementing basic research combined with translational studies in order to develop new treatments and strategies for memory loss.

Overall, gonadal hormones exert diverse and multi-dimensional influences on some aspects of cognition which are pervasive throughout the lifespan and provide fundamental regulation over higher order neural function, i.e., the intellectual/cognitive realms of life. Accumulating, but still controversial, evidence suggests that estradiol, synthesized within the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, may also contribute to the normal process(es) of memory consolidation. Since the review covers such widespread topics, each cannot be discussed in depth, but the reader is directed to current reviews. The review concludes that research on estrogens which act as potent hormones, neurosteroids and neuromodulators should be expanded in order to take advantage of already demonstrated cognitive enhancements, to extend positive hormonal effects throughout the entire lifespan and to determine how estrogens may be of value in mitigating neurodegenerative diseases. More provocatively, since intra-neuronally derived estrogens may be involved in the normal process(es) of memory consolidation, it is argued that further research may lead to a better understanding of the fundamental processes of learning and memory. The path for achieving these goals is to forge a strong and more informative translation from animal to human studies and to integrate newer information on estradiol’s neurosteroid and neuromodulator effects with its traditional genomic effects in order to develop new target drugs. While enormous progress has been made in the last twenty-five years, the breadth and depth of new developments concerning neural mechanisms for estradiol action suggest that researchers will continue to be engaged in this area of neuroendocrine research for the near and far future.

Defining cognition

Cognitive function, put in its most basic context, is the ability to learn, retain, and recall information. In humans, it also represents a complex, multidimensional set of intellectual functions like judgment and evaluation. Thus, in a broader context, cognition includes all mental abilities and processes related to knowledge including, but not limited to, attention, memory, reasoning, comprehension and language production. Since this review focuses primarily on animals, but also includes human research, cognition is used in its more basic context. In addition, since effects of the gonadal steroid, estradiol, are considered, learning and memory (information recall) is the cognitive function which comprises much of the discussion because it has been studied the most in relation to estrogen’s effects. Nonetheless, because effects of estradiol have been demonstrated in a number of cognitive domains in humans and in a number of different learning and memory paradigms in animals, cognition or cognitive function will be used for general discussion. It should be noted that such usage does not indicate that estradiol influences all aspects of cognitive functioning. Moreover, some effects of estradiol may be mediated by non-cognitive processes (see Dohanich, 2002; Luine and Dohanich, 2008; Luine, in press and the section Adult cognition for further discussion of these issues). When specific studies and experiments are described, the exact cognitive process investigated and effects found will be given.

Areas and mechanisms for gonadal hormone action

In 1998, Hormones and Behavior devoted an entire issue to the topic of Estrogen Effects on Cognition Across The Lifespan (Williams, 1998) which gave credence to the newly developing concept that hormones, especially estrogens, could modulate cognitive function. Historically, estradiol had been recognized to act within the brain to regulate sexual behavior and ovulation in the late sixties (Everett, 1965; Gorski, 1971), and this research area contributed greatly in the founding of the journal. Currently, the role of gonadal hormones in brain functioning has expanded, and many higher order brain functions such as mood, psychiatric disorders and some social behaviors, as well as cognition are now known to be impacted (Watson et al., 2010; Gillies and McArthur, 2010). Thus, hormonal influences on cognition no longer seem unusual, and, indeed, gonadal hormone effects on neural functions are pervasive.
Cognitive effects of estradiol are mediated at sites and/or neural systems in the cerebral cortex, basal forebrain, hippocampus and striatum that regulate higher order neural function. The most investigated areas for memory in general, and for hormonal effects on memory specifically, are the medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Cognitive effects of estradiol, similar to effects on reproduction, are mediated through binding to classical nuclear receptors which are found in two distinct forms, estrogen receptor alpha (ERα) and estrogen receptor beta (ERβ), in these brain areas (Fig. 1). Both receptors are ligand dependent transcription factors and through interactions at specific sites on DNA (EREs, estrogen response elements), initiate a cascade of intra-cellular reactions that alter protein synthesis and culminate in the unique physiological responses of each estrogen target tissue. These genomic actions generally result in long lasting and sustained effects on neural function and most likely underlie estrogen effects during development when sex-dependent functions and sex differences in neural functions are programmed, although recent studies indicate that epigenetic mechanisms may also contribute to sexual differentiation (McCarthy and Nugent, 2013). At adulthood, functional changes which occur during the menstrual and estrous cycles, pregnancy, menopause and aging are also dependent on genomic mechanisms. While changes in cognition appear to depend on genomic mechanisms, current research suggests that other mechanisms such as binding to membrane receptors may also be important. This line of work began with the demonstration that estrogen receptors were present outside of the cell nucleus in membranes of cell bodies, spines and presynaptic terminals in a number of brain regions including the medial PFC and the hippocampus (Srivastava et al., 2013b). Binding of estrogens to membrane receptors initiates rapidly mediated hormonal effects (sec to min) through activation of signaling pathways (Fig. 2). Thus, estrogen can alter memory, and other functions, through mechanisms that are rapid or slow in onset.

Developmental effects of gonadal hormones on cognition

Animal studies

Influences of gonadal hormones on cognition begin during in utero development when the testes of males actively secrete testosterone, which directly masculinizes the male external genitalia. In the central nervous system, testosterone is aromatized to estradiol, and it is this locally produced estradiol which programs the expression of male-like behaviors including, most importantly, sexual behavior. Estradiol also underlies the expression of some non-sexual behaviors like aggression, activity and motor abilities and some aspects of learning and memory (Watson et al., 2010; Gillies and McArthure, 2010). These organizational events by estradiol leave long lasting imprints which are manifested in sex differences at adulthood and which in some (but not all) cases can be additionally modified by circulating estrogens and androgens. Sex behaviors are strikingly different between the sexes: rodent males exhibit mounting and little lordosis while rodent females exhibit lordosis and little mounting. Sex differences in non-sexual behaviors, including cognition can be less dimorphic/different, but male rodents outperform females on tasks requiring spatial memory, the radial arm maze (Williams et al., 1990; Luine and Rodriguez, 1994) Morris water maze and object placement (Beck and Luine, 2002; Bisagno et al., 2003). Fig. 3 illustrates this sex difference: on the radial arm, male mice make approximately twice as many errors as males in completing the task, and in object placement, female rats significantly discriminate only at a one hour inter-trial delay while males discriminate with 1–4 h inter-trial delays.

Sex differences are also prominent in the strategies by which cognitive tasks are accomplished in rodents. For example, in learning to obtain rewards on the T-maze, two strategies can be utilized. One, a place or spatial strategy, involves learning to go to a specific place (arm), while a response strategy, which involves always turning the same direction, can also be used. Korol et al. (2004) reported that female rats were more likely to use a place strategy (but could alter strategy based on estrous cycle state) while Packard and McGaugh (1996) reported that 90% of male rats use a response strategy. In solving the spatial memory task, radial arm maze, female and male rats also often apply different strategies which are reflected in males having a faster rate and accuracy in learning the task, but over time both sexes reach the same level of performance (Williams and Meck, 1991; Tropp and Markus, 2001). Females appear to construct a detailed cognitive map of all cues around the maze which makes acquisition of the task slower but allows for sustained, accurate performance even when cues are removed. Males, on the other hand, map only a few cues and apply a “vectoring approach,” use of the geometric properties of the shape of the environment in relation to only a few cues. Hence males rapidly map and acquire the task, but male performance plummets when the environment is even minimally altered. Similar strategy differences between the sexes and effects of hormones have also been noted in the water maze (Korol et al., 2004; Daniel and Lee, 2004).

An important question, which has not been widely investigated in relation to these sex differences in cognition, is whether the differences are due to gonadal hormones acting during development (organizing/programming effect) to establish long lasting neuroanatomical, chemical and/or functional sex differences. Alternatively, sex differences in cognitive function may arise from differences in circulating hormones in the sexes that act on neurons to influence their function. In addition, the difference may depend on both organizational and activation effects of hormones, and genes on the Y chromosome may also contribute. Little research has addressed these issues but Williams and colleagues investigated the influence of neonatal castration and estradiol treatment to females on radial maze performance and found that the treatments generally mimicked the demonstrated sex differences of adult rats (Williams et al., 1990; Williams and Meck, 1991). Neonatal exposure to testosterone also modifies sex differences in radial arm and Morris water maze performance and hippocampal morphology (Roof, 1993; Isgor and Sengelaub, 1998). Thus, results suggest a strong organizing effect of estradiol, derived from testosterone, on adult sex differences in the acquisition and performance of spatial memory tasks.

**Fig. 1.** Schematic of genomic mechanism for enhancing memory by estradiol. Circulating estradiol enters the cell nucleus where it can bind to two types of receptors, ERs or ERs. The complexes act as nuclear transcription factors by binding to an ERE (estrogen response element) and stimulating gene transcription which leads to increases in cellular proteins that, by increasing neural transmission and function, enhance cognitive function.
Human studies

Some gender differences in cognition are also apparent. A similar pattern as in animals exists where most men outperform most women in tasks requiring spatial contexts (Hampson, 1990). On the other hand, most women are superior to men in aspects of verbal abilities including spelling, grammar, and rate of speech acquisition (Hampson, 2002). The same relationship, females superior to men, exists in tests of perceptual speed and accuracy, and women also exhibit better short-term memory (Hampson, 2002). Such tasks cannot be assessed in rodents, and non-human primates have not been investigated. Like rodents, it is unknown whether the differences are due to organizational or activational hormone effects. Gender differences in spatial abilities are present in children (Kerns and Berenbaum, 1991) and become larger at puberty (Voyer et al., 1995) suggesting both kinds of hormonal influences. Women with Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH), a condition where testosterone is produced in large amounts by the adrenal glands beginning in utero, provide an opportunity to assess organizational effects of testosterone and estradiol (derived from the testosterone) on cognitive function (activational effects of hormones cannot usually be assessed because medical treatments effectively lower androgens). Some, but not all, studies suggest that CAH women develop a more male-typical cognitive pattern, especially with regard to spatial memory, and this observation thus provides some evidence for perinatal organizing effects on cognition in humans (Malouf et al., 2006; Mueller et al., 2008; see Berenbaum and Beltz, 2011 for a review).

![Fig. 2. Schematic of rapid membrane receptor mediated mechanisms for enhancing memory by estradiol. Circulating estradiol can bind to various receptors in the cell membrane. At this time, the exact receptors and details of the binding have not been described, but evidence for activation of the pathways shown have been obtained (see text for details and references). Binding to the receptors results in activation of protein kinases which phosphorylate other proteins. These proteins then cause increases in synthesis of specific proteins through either phosphorylation of the transcription factor cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB) located on DNA in the cell nucleus or through mRNAs located in the cytoplasm in dendrites or other areas. These actions result in structural changes at existing synapses as well as synaptogenesis that enhance memory formation, consolidation, storage and retrieval. Abbreviations: ERK, extracellular signal regulated kinase; PKA, cAMP-dependent protein kinase; P13K/Akt, phosphatidylinositol3-kinase/Akt pathway; m pathway; mTOR, targets of rapamycin. Schematic adapted from Frick (2012) and Giese and Mizuno (2013).](image)

![Fig. 3. Sex differences in spatial memory tasks. A. Radial arm maze – Bars show the total errors made in completion of the task by adult male and female mice. **P < 0.01, Student’s t-test. Data from an unpublished study by Kneavel, Christakos and Luine. B. Object placement task-Bars represent the mean time ± SEM exploring the object at the old and new locations for male and female rats in the recognition trial (T2) at inter-trial delays of 1, 2, and 4 h. ANOVA showed a significant difference in time spent at the locations and a significant interaction, sex × object. *P < 0.01, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05 (paired t-test) within each group. Data adapted from Bisagno et al. (2003).](image)
Sex differences in cognitive function in both rodents and human are usually small in magnitude, with the largest differences usually constituting a little more than one standard deviation unit, and some studies have failed to demonstrate such differences. Thus, while an “average” female may score higher than an “average” male on a particular test, there is considerable overlap in performance, and some men will score higher than some women.

An important aspect of the programming of sex differences during development is that these differences may contribute to the development and patterns of adult cognitive loss seen in some neurodegenerative and psychiatric diseases. Females have more Alzheimer’s disease and less Parkinson’s disease than males and develop Parkinson’s later than males. Schizophrenia develops earlier in males, and males present with more negative symptoms and possibly more cognitive deficits than females (Gillies and McArthur, 2010). Sex differences in these diseases as well as responses to drug treatments have initiated the gender medicine movement. Gender medicine posits that we need to do away with the assumption that men and women are similarly affected by diseases and treatments. Further emphasizing the importance of sex in biological systems, the National Institutes of Health (N.I.H.) has recently unveiled policies to ensure that preclinical research funded by the US NIH includes both females and males (Clayton and Collins, 2014). Clearly, most current research does not include both sexes, and we have only scratched the surface on the extent to which sex contributes to biological functions, especially cognition.

In conclusion, further studies of sex differences in cognition and the role of possible organizing effects of gonadal hormones on cognition are necessary to determine to the extent to which this period of development makes contributions to normal cognitive function and effects of neurodegenerative disease. It should also be noted that adolescence is a period where hormones may contribute to long lasting, sexually dimorphic patterns of behavioral performance (Schulz et al., 2009), and this period needs further investigation in relation to the setting down of sex-dependent, cognitive attributes. Answers to these questions are important because they could frame the type and nature of successful hormonal treatments given in adulthood.

Adult cognition

Introduction and caveats

Research conducted in many laboratories has documented cognitive changes in female rodent models in relation to gonadal hormone status, and these changes include effects related to ovariectomy, estrus cyclicity, pregnancy, multiparity, estrapause and aging (Dohanich, 2002; Luine, 2006, 2008; Luine and Frankfurt, 2012a,b). Studies presented in the 1998 issue and somewhat later utilized primarily spatial memory tasks such as radial arm maze, Morris water maze and T-maze to show that estradiol administration improved performance of ovariectomized rats (Luine and Rodriguez, 1994; Luine et al., 1998; Daniel et al., 1997; Dohanich et al., 1994; Dohanich, 2002). Differences in performance of tasks were also found across the estrous cycle (Frye et al., 2007; Walf et al., 2006; Frick and Berger-Sweeney, 2001; Frye, 1995; Warren and Juraska, 1997). It should be emphasized that reported changes are small and not all studies reported positive effects, but at this time, the preponderance of studies report enhancements of learning and memory by estradiol. It is also important to note whether studies examined learning vs memory as differential effects of estrogens have been reported on these aspects of cognition (Dohanich, 2002). Many issues have contributed to reported failures of estradiol in enhancing cognitive function. It is important to review these issues because “failures” contribute to the notion held by some scientists that estrogens do not exert modulation of cognition. In addition, knowledge of potential pitfalls is helpful in designing future, successful experiments.

Experimental design issues in assessing hormone effects

Type of “estrogen”

As indicated previously, a preponderance of studies show that gonadal hormones enhance cognitive function, but many studies report no or marginal effects. First, some confusion has arisen over the use of the general term estrogen(s), as compared to the specific hormone, 17β-estradiol (Blaustein, 2008). Several types of estrogens are synthesized and secreted by the ovaries, commonly referred to as E1 (estrone), E2 (17α and 17β-estradiol) and E3 (estril). 17β-Estradiol is the most prevalent and most potent female gonadal hormone followed by estrone and estriol, at least as indicated by binding affinity to ERα and potency in inducing uterine growth (MacLusky, 1997; Polmar et al., 2002). Most animal studies utilize 17β-estradiol or 17β-estradiol–benzoate (EB). EB provides longer and more sustained increases in serum 17β-estradiol because the benzoate moiety protects estradiol from rapid degradation. Use of 17β-estradiol or EB leads to different effective doses and time courses for effects (Scharfman et al., 2007). Some studies have also used the synthetic estrogen, diethylstilbestrol (DES), which is more potent than any endogenous estrogen (MacLusky, 1997; Luine et al., 2003). Long term, sustained levels of estradiol can be achieved by use of Silastic capsules packed with pure hormone or diluted with cholesterol. Estradiol is slowly released, and constant levels can be maintained for one to six months (Brown et al., 1990; Rodgers et al., 2010). A rapidly metabolized, water-soluble form of estradiol which is encapsulated in 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (estradiol–cyclodextrin) does not enter cells and can be used can be used for assessing effects of estradiol mediated through binding to membrane receptors (Frick, 2012).

Many studies in women have not utilized the above described estrogens but instead used the most commonly available and prescribed Hormone-Replacement Therapy (HRT) formulation, Premarin, which consists of Conjugated Equine Estrogens (CEE; trade name Premarin) (Hersh et al., 2004). These conjugated estrogens are primarily a mixture of sodium estrone sulfate and sodium equilin sulfate with other components in lesser amounts including sodium sulfate conjugates of 17α- and 17β-dihydroequilin, 17α-estradiol (isomeric form of estradiol which binds poorly to estrogen receptors) and trace amounts of 17β-estradiol. The presence of equilin compounds reflects that Premarins were originally obtained from the urine of pregnant horses. Currently, these estrogens are obtained from other natural sources. After absorption and metabolism of CEEs, the resulting primary circulating hormones are estrone and, after estrone’s conversion, 17β-estradiol, as well as equilin, but only the levels of estrone and equilin have been reported (Bhavnani, 2003; Sitruk-Ware, 2002). These three metabolites are hypothesized to be primarily responsible for the estrogenic effects of CEE (Sitruk-Ware, 2002), although there are other estrogens and related metabolites that could also exert effects (Kuhl, 2005). Most of these estrogenic compounds have not been extensively investigated in animals or humans, but effects of individual components as compared to CEE appear to differ as estrone impaired (Engler-Chiurazzi et al., 2012), dehydroequilin enhanced and equilin had no effect on memory tasks in middle aged rats (Talboom et al., 2010) while low dose Premarin impaired learning and memory retention but medium and high doses enhanced it in middle aged rats (Engler-Chiurazzi et al., 2011; see Acosta et al., 2013 for further discussion). In women with a uterus, Premarin (as well as estradiol) must be given with a progestin, such as Medroxyprogesterone acetate, because uterine cancer can develop with chronic estrogen treatment. As with Premarin, Medroxyprogesterone acetate is not an endogenous progestin.

Chiurazzi et al., 2011
Treatment dosage and timing

The estradiol dose given is critical because many drug/hormone effects on cognition do not follow a traditional sigmoidal shaped dose–response curve but instead show an inverted U-shape with both lower and higher doses being ineffective or even inhibitory (Inagaki et al., 2010). Thus, experiments which include only one dose, or even two doses, of hormone may not show positive effects or even inhibitory effects. The duration, days vs weeks, or type of treatment, injection vs sustained release capsules, are important as different effects have been obtained, even on the same cognitive tests (Luine et al., 1998; Fernandez and Frick, 2004; Galea et al., 2001, 2002). The delay period between ovariectomy and the initiation of estrogen treatment in animal models (McLaughlin et al., 2008) or after menopause in humans also critically impacts on the effectiveness of estrogens (see the section Human studies and the critical period hypothesis). At present, it appears that the longer the interval between cessation of circulating gonadal hormones and initiation of treatment, the smaller the response, and this observation holds for both ovariectomy paradigms and naturally occurring estrapause in rats and may extend to women.

Life history

For animal studies, the life history of the subjects maybe important and can interact with or interfere with treatments. Environmental enrichment mitigates or obliterates some estrogenic effects on cognition (Daniel et al., 1999), especially in aged rodents (Gresack and Frick, 2004). Diet can contribute to effects in rodents because phytoestrogens (plant-derived estrogens with weak potencies) are present in rat chow. Long-term maintenance of ovariectomized rats on chow containing high phytoestrogen levels or treatment with dietary phytoestrogens (plant-derived estrogens with weak potencies) is associated with better performance of some memory tasks as compared to ovariectomized rats receiving chow with minimal phytoestrogens (Luine et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2010). Thus, ceiling effects could be present when environmentally enriched or phytoestrogen exposed rats receive chronic estrogen treatments. Dietary phytoestrogens may also be critical in aging studies since aged females have low circulating estradiol. Thus, feeding chow high in phytoestrogens may provide maintenance or enhancing effects of estrogens. Multi-parity, having had multiple pregnancy, birth and lactation bouts, mitigates age-related declines in spatial memory, and thus such subjects may also respond differently when given estradiol treatments as compared to age-matched virgins (Macbeth et al., 2008; Gatewood et al., 2005). Thus, many factors interact with hormonal effects and appear to minimize or obscure the effects and need to be taken into account when executing experiments.

Issues of life experiences have not been well addressed in women, but some studies show that women having had multiple pregnancies may show enhancements in certain aspects of memory (Macbeth and Luine, 2010). Moreover, some clinical data suggest that estrogen treatment may be most effective in women with less education (Mathews et al., 1996, 1999) or who show normal to below average verbal memory performance (Tierney et al., 2013) which effects may be similar to the ceiling effect of environmental enrichment in rats.

Inter-actions between the HPG and HPA axes

Further complicating studies on estrogen effects are possible inter-actions of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (HPG) with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. The HPA is activated with stress and resultant release of corticosterone (in rats) or cortisol (in humans) can interfere or alter responses to gonadal hormones. In rats, depending on the intensity or duration of stress and the sex and age of the subject, memory can be impaired or enhanced (Luine et al., 2007). Interactions between the HPA and HPG axis are critical because many learning and memory tasks in animals rely on negative (shock or swimming until finding a hidden platform) or positive (food or water after privation) reinforcements which introduce stresses to the subjects. In this regard, recognition memory tasks, which engender little stress, show robust memory enhancements by estrogen in reports from a number of different laboratories (Luine, in press) while stress encountered during performance of some tasks which have stressful components such as the water maze, radial arm maze and avoidance paradigms may interfere with or override results (Beck and Luine, 1999; Engleman et al., 2006).

Indirect effects on psychological performance parameters

A final consideration is whether estradiol acts on mnemonic processes to enhance memory or whether the enhancements reflect indirect effects on psychological performance parameters. Hormones can influence performance parameters like affect (arousal, anxiety, mood, motivation), regulatory mechanisms (thirst, hunger, body weight, composition, temperature), sensory-perception (vision, audition, olfaction, gustation, touch, attention, proprioception, nociception) and motor ability (activity, balance, skill) (Luine and Dohanich, 2008). These performance parameters are present in both animal and human tests and could thereby enhance or impair performance. Human experiments usually include other instruments to assess these parameters and the extent to which they contribute to main effects, and thus, these complications are usually ruled out but could still remain as possible mediators of estrogenic effects. Accounting for performance variables in animal experiments is more difficult, but many investigators have tested them (Luine and Dohanich, 2008; Luine et al., 2003; Inagaki et al., 2010) or have utilized testing paradigms which preclude effects on performance parameters (Luine, in press; see the section Post-training trial injections and memory enhancement).

Does estradiol influence cognition?

Does the above list of potential caveats indicate that estrogenic influences on cognition may occur only in circumscribed situations and be only marginal in real time? Data on this question is presented in the next section, but the reader is referred to Fig. 4 where performance on the spatial learning and memory task, eight arm radial arm maze, is shown in adult male and female rats, adults receiving chronic stress and aged (25 months old) rats. Sex differences are expected, and they are obvious; males, regardless of age, perform better than females. The...

---

**Fig. 4.** Radial arm maze performance in rats across sex, age and after stress. Bars are the average ± SEM of the choice where subjects made the first mistake (Larger numbers indicate better performance). Young males and females (2–3 months) served as controls or received daily restraint for 21 days. Old rats were 22–24 months old. Chronic stress impairs young male performance but enhances female performance. Both aged males and females are impaired as compared to young controls, and aged females show the worst performance of all the groups. Data are combined form a number of studies and are for illustrative purposes only as no statistical tests were performed. See the original studies for details and statistical analyses. Data from Luine and Rodrigez (1994), Luine et al. (1990), and Bowman et al. (2001). Reprinted by permission from Luine (2006).
response to stress is different in the sexes; males are impaired after stress while females perform better. Moreover, it is overwhelmingly clear that old female rats are severely compromised in their cognitive abilities as compared to all groups. Thus, both gonadal and adrenal hormones appear to impact cognition, and old female rats, with very low circulating levels of estrogens, are severely compromised. Whether lack of estrogen is a main contributor to age-related learning and memory losses in females is unknown, but this figure certainly begs the question. It is also known that spatial reference memory on the Morris water maze declines remarkably faster with aging in female rats, 12 months old, than male rats, 18 months old (Markowska, 1999) or in female mice, 17 months old, than male mice, 25 months old (Frick et al., 2000).

**Estradiol enhancements of cognition — chronic effects**

**Animal studies**

The results of extensive research, using a variety of learning and memory tasks, show that estradiol enhances cognition (Dohanich, 2002; Frick, 2009; Luine, 2008). The balance of studies show estrogen dependent enhancements in performance during the acquisition phase (Daniel et al., 1997; Luine et al., 1998; Luine and Rodriguez, 1994), but when subjects have acquired (learned) how to complete/solve the tasks, estradiol may no longer enhance performance (Fader et al., 1998, 1999; Luine et al., 1998). Effects of estradiol on response learning, mediated by the striatum, have not been well studied, but it may impair this type of learning in females (Zurkovsky et al., 2011; Davis et al., 2005).

When memory is assessed in spatial and non-spatial tasks (using and remembering within trial information), consistent enhancements by estradiol are seen (reviewed in Luine, 2008). An example of estradiol’s ability to enhance memory is shown in Fig. 5 where two recognition memory tasks were evaluated, one is object recognition and the other is object place recognition. In both tasks, rats explore two identical objects in an open field in the training or sampling trial, and then after an inter-trial delay of 4 h, a new object replaces one of the objects (object recognition) or a one object is moved to a new location (object placement). If rats spend more time exploring the new object or the object in the new location, it is considered that they remember the old object/location. We gave estradiol benzoate (EB) or vehicle to ovariectomized rats via SC injection (50 μg/kg) for two days and assessed object recognition or object placement memory 48 h after the last estradiol injection (Jacome et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 5A, treatments did not alter exploration of objects in the sample trial. The lack of differences in exploring the objects suggests that estradiol did not alter the psychological performance parameters of overall activity, anxiety for object exploration or novelty seeking behavior. In contrast, during the recognition trials (Fig. 5B), estradiol treated rats had significantly higher exploration ratios than vehicle treated rats, approximately 0.70 vs. 0.50 (exploration ratio is time exploring new/time exploring old + new so a higher ratio indicates better memory). Thus, estradiol treatment appeared to enhance memory. Nonetheless, it might still be argued that changes in performance parameters may have contributed. We therefore tested the same estradiol treatment regimen for activity and anxiety effects on the open field and for anxiety effects on the elevated plus maze. No differences between vehicle and estradiol treated rats were noted suggesting that estradiol, at least at the dose and duration given, enhanced memory (Jacome et al., 2010). Localized infusion of estradiol directly into the hippocampus is sufficient to enhance both recognition memory (Boulware et al., 2013) and spatial memory in the water maze (Packard, 1998) which also argues against effects on non-nemonic parameters.

Chronic effects of estrogens appear to occur mainly through genomic mediation, and the receptor(s) mediating the changes in recognition memory were assessed using the ERα specific agonist PPT and the ERβ specific agonists DPN and C19. Given in the same regimen as estradiol, only the ERβ agonists enhanced object recognition and object placement (Jacome et al., 2010). Similar results have been reported by others (Liu et al., 2008; Walf et al., 2008); however, some other studies indicate that both receptors may mediate changes in memory (Frye et al., 2007; Hammond et al., 2009; Boulware et al., 2013). Thus, it remains unclear at this time whether estrogen binding to one or both of the estrogen receptors mediate chronic changes in memory function.

Consistent with estradiol’s enhancing effects in ovariectomized females, ovariectomy is associated with a loss in the ability to significantly discriminate in recognition memory tasks (Wallace et al., 2006). Interestingly, object recognition is affected before object placement, 1 vs 4 weeks following ovariectomy which may reflect differences in amount or kind of estrogen receptors in the PFC vs the hippocampus or the ability of the hippocampus to synthesize estrogens in order to maintain memory function (see the section Synthesis of estradiol within brain areas).

**Human studies**

Effects of estrogens in humans generally reflect effects seen in animals but are less consistent. Assessed over the menstrual cycle,
women do better on cognitive tests favoring females (verbal fluency, fine motor skills, perceptual speed) when estrogens are high but perform better on cognitive skills favoring males, spatial ability, when estrogens are low (Hampson, 1990; Hampson and Morley, 2013; reviewed in Sherwin, 2012). A recent study in young, cycling women (Hampson and Morley, 2013) showed that when their salivary estradiol levels were high, 7.3 pmol/L, they had fewer working memory errors on a spatial working memory task than when their levels were low, 2.2 pmol/L (Fig. 6A). Moreover, salivary estradiol significantly correlated with the number of working memory errors (Fig. 6B). On the other hand, another recent study reported no changes in immediate or working memory, delayed recall, verbal learning or verbal fluency across the menstrual cycle (Mihalj et al., 2014). Still, postmenopausal women (with presumably low estradiol levels) performed significantly worse than pre-and perimenopausal women on delayed verbal memory tasks and significantly worse than perimenopausal women on phonemic verbal fluency tasks (Weber et al., 2013). In terms of estrogen treatment paradigms, young women with a surgical menopause who were treated with estradiol maintained performance on tests of verbal memory whereas scores decreased significantly in placebo treated women (Sherwin, 1988). Most estrogen treatment studies in females have been conducted after menopause and are discussed in the section Human studies and the critical period hypothesis.

**Estradiol enhancements of cognition — acute effects**

**Background**

During the 1990s, evidence accumulated that receptor[s] for estrogens were also present outside of the cell nucleus in membranes of cell bodies and in axons, spines, presynaptic terminals, and near postsynaptic neurotransmitter receptors (Blaustein, 1992; Milner et al., 2001; Towart et al., 2003). Brain regions mediating reproductive function like the hypothalamus as well as regions mediating cognition, including the medial PFC and the hippocampus, were shown to contain these non-nuclear receptors. Thus, our lab and others began investigating whether estrogens might more rapidly activate sex behavior or learning and memory than in previous studies where injections of 17β-estradiol benzoate or Silastic capsules of 17β-estradiol were given for several days or weeks.

In initial studies of recognition memory, 17α- or 17β-estradiol (15 μg/kg) was given to ovariectomized subjects 30 min before exploration of objects in T1, and the T2 recognition/retention trial was given 4 h later (Luine et al., 2003). Ovariectomized rats did not significantly discriminate between the objects, but both 17α- and 17β-estradiol treated subjects significantly discriminated between the old and the new objects and the old and new locations (no differences in exploration were seen in T1). 17α-Estradiol binds to membrane receptors so it was not surprising that it also enhanced memory (MacLusky, 1997). DES also enhanced OR and OP in the same pre-T1 paradigm (Luine et al., 2003). Thus, a variety of estrogens rapidly enhanced recognition memory, 4.5 h after injection. Moreover, the effective doses after acute treatments were much lower than in studies where estrogens were given chronically, 50 μg/kg/day (Jacome et al., 2010) vs 15 μg/kg dose (Luine et al., 2003). Supporting these novel behavioral findings, inhibitory avoidance, a task relying on conditioned learning, was also enhanced by a similar dose (10 μg) of 17β-estradiol within 4 h (Rhodes and Frye, 2004).

**Post-training trial injections and memory enhancement**

In subsequent studies, we and others adopted a post-training protocol for assessing memory as advanced by McGaugh (1989). There are two important advantages for this protocol: psychological performance parameters and learning are largely eliminated. Thus, memory can be investigated. In this protocol, treatments are given after subjects acquire information such as searching for the submerged platform in water maze tasks or having explored objects in recognition tasks (T1 Trial). Following the acquisition or training trial, new information requires consolidation, and drugs or hormones could influence memory storage processes during this immediate period following training. Studies by the McGaugh laboratory showed that rats receiving injections of drugs like amphetamine or noradrenergic agonists had better retention from the training trial (1989). Moreover, the post-training injections were time dependent, only treatments given within 1–2 h following the training/sampling trials enhanced memory, and those given later in the inter-trial delay did not. The important attribute of post-training protocols is that enhancements after immediate, but not delayed, post-trial injections show that the enhancing effects on memory do not derive from non-mnemonic effects during learning since the
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**Fig. 6.** Relationship of salivary estradiol to working memory errors in young women. A. The mean number of working memory errors (WME) observed on a spatial working memory task from Trial 1 to Trial 3 (T1–T3) and in the delay condition (Delay) for women tested at low estradiol (Group I, n = 18), women tested at high estradiol (Group II, n = 21), and male controls (Males, n = 31). Bars represent SEM. A. Scatterplot showing the association between salivary estradiol concentrations after log transformation to normalize the distribution and the total number of working memory errors on the spatial working memory task. With all females in the sample included (n = 39), a correlation of r = −.40 was found. Figures reproduced with permission from Hampson and Morley (2013).
Neural mechanisms for chronic and acute effects of estrogens

Background

Ample evidence exists that estrogens cause morphologic and neurochemical changes in many areas of the brain when given acutely or chronically to animals or humans. Chronic treatments alter the major neuronal systems of the brain including, but not limited to, cholinergic, monoaminergic, GABAergic and glutaminergic neurons in both animals and humans (Gibbs, 2010; McEwen and Alves, 1999). Cholinergic neural systems have been the most extensively investigated. Cholinergic cell bodies originate in the vertical and horizontal diagonal bands and n. basalis areas within the basal forebrain and project to the hippocampus and frontal cortex. On the behavioral side, estrogen treatments which enhance learning and memory in rodents are associated with increases in cholinergic neurochemicals, synthetic enzymes, receptors, or RNA for the chemicals (Luine, 1985; Doohanich et al., 1994; Daniel and Doohanich, 2001; Gibbs, 2010). Cholinergic antagonists also block or interfere with performance of learning and memory tasks and with estrogen’s enhancements in their performance in rodents and humans (Fader et al., 1998, 1999; Doohanich, 2002; Dumas et al., 2008, 2012). In humans, for example, post-menopausal women received three months of oral 17β-estradiol or placebo and then underwent a visual verbal N-back test of working memory while undergoing functional MRI. Immediately prior to the session, subjects were administered the antimuscarinic drug scopolamine, the anticholinergic drug mecamylamine or placebo. Results showed that estradiol modulated antimuscarinic and anticholinergic-induced brain activity and provided further evidence that estradiol affects cholinergic system regulation of cognition-related brain activation (Dumas et al., 2012). Thus, as illustrated for the cholinergic system, chronic estradiol treatments up regulate neuronal systems which in turn then enhance cognition.

Dendritic spine density

Increasing evidence shows that the general mechanism(s) underlying learning and memory involve dendritic remodeling. In the hippocampus, the acquisition of new memories in a conditioning paradigm is associated with increases in dendritic spine density in the CA1 region of both male (Jedlicka et al., 2008; Leuner et al., 2003) and female rats (Beltran-Campos et al., 2011). In addition, existing spines undergo structural alterations that result in long term potentiation (Jedlicka et al., 2008; Morgado-Bernal, 2011). The functional implications of these alterations in spine density are best understood in the hippocampus because increases in dendritic spine density and/or spine sizes are associated with long term potentiation (Muller et al., 2000, LTP [81,86]). Overall, it appears that memories require alterations in dendritic spines that then alter LTP (Matsuzaki et al., 2011). In relation to estrogen effects, Vedder et al. (2013) recently showed that treatment for two days enhanced novel object recognition memory with the same time course as increases in CA1 LTP magnitude, and both effects required an increase in NMDA receptors. With regard to hippocampal spines and memory, it is notable that chronic treatment with estradiol was shown to increase hippocampal dendritic spine density 25 years ago (see McEwen and Alves, 1999 for review) and that it is now abundantly clear that dendritic spines are extremely responsive to both acute and chronic changes in circulating estrogen. For ex., fluctuations of approximately 30% in dendritic spine density on neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus nucleus (important for female sexual behavior) (Frankfurt et al., 1990) and pyramidal cells in the CA1 region of the hippocampus occur during the 4–5 day estrous cycle (Woolley and McEwen, 1992). Similar results have been obtained in the monkey for CA1 (Hajszan and Leranth, 2010; Parducz et al., 2006) and the PFC (Tang et al., 2004; Leranth et al., 2008) where estradiol has been shown to increase both dendritic spine and spine synapse density. Moreover, we have demonstrated that ovariectomized...
rats, treated with estradiol for two days, show enhanced place memory in an object placement task and have an approximately 10% higher spine density in basal CA1 dendritic spines than ovariectomized rats (Luine and Frankfurt, 2013). Li et al. (2004) reported that 1 μg of estradiol for 5 days, a dose which enhances object placement, also increases mushroom spine density in CA1 of ovariectomized mice. Velázquez-Zamora et al. (2012) showed that estradiol increased spine density in layer III of the PFC at the same dose which enhanced allocentric working memory in the Y-maze. Consistent with estradiol administration to ovariectomized rats enhancing memory, ovariectomy is associated with a significant decline in recognition memory (object recognition and placement) and 17–55% lower spine density in the PFC and CA1 as compared to intact rats (Wallace et al., 2006; Beltran-Campos et al., 2011). Aged rats showing impaired recognition memory in comparison with young rats have approximately 16% lower spine density in PFC and CA1 (Wallace et al., 2008; Luine et al., 2011). Thus, over the lifespan of female rats, both memory function and spine density in CA1 region of the hippocampus and the medial PFC fluctuate, but whether the dendritic changes are causal to the memory changes has not been demonstrated (see Luine and Frankfurt, 2012b for further discussion).

Rapid effects of estradiol on morphology and neurochemistry have also been demonstrated. Within 30–40 min after injection, estradiol increases post-synaptic synapse density by 62% (MacLusky et al., 2005) and spine density by 29% in basal dendritic spines in CA1 (Inagaki et al., 2012; Phan et al., 2012). Fig. 8 is a photomicrograph showing CA1 spine density in ovariectomized rats 30 min after receiving either vehicle or 20 μg estradiol. Increases in PFC spine density are also noted within the same time frame in both apical, 16%, and basal, 27%, trees (Inagaki et al., 2012) and in arcuate nucleus (Christensen et al., 2011). Changes in all areas are maintained for 4 h after estradiol administration. Khan et al. (2013) recently reported rapid increases in spines in the somatosensory cortex, an area believed to contribute to working memory, as well as the PFC. As indicated above, spine changes are at a dose and time when memory consolidation is known to occur (Luine and Frankfurt, 2012a). As with chronic estradiol treatments, whether relationships between the variables are causal requires further investigation.

Cell signaling

Our understanding of how the brain acquires, consolidates and retrieves information has advanced rapidly in the last twenty years. Several lines of evidence support the critical role of signaling cascades and protein kinases in the process (Giese and Mizuno, 2013 and see Fig. 2). There is evidence that estradiol activates many of these pathways. In the hippocampus, the initial event in activating intracellular signaling which is responsible for memory consolidation appears to be the activation of glutamate receptors (mGluR), primarily MGlur1. These events are responsible for triggering LTP induction and memory formation (see Sweat, 2010 for review). It has recently been shown that estradiol rapidly activates in vivo mGlur signaling in the PFC and hippocampus (Meizizen and Mermelstein, 2011; Khan et al., 2013; Boulware et al., 2013) and several kinases (see below). ERs have been identified on membranes of dendrites and spines, in presynaptic terminals and near post-synaptic receptors, and estradiol binding to these ERs initiates rapid activation of intracellular signaling cascades and immediate early genes which are critical for memory consolidation (Srivastava et al., 2013b). These effects are well described by in vitro and in vivo experiments, but the receptor(s) responsible are still unclear. Both ERα and ERβ have been localized to membranes in the hippocampus and PFC (Milner et al., 2001, 2005; Bean et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2013), and estradiol also binds to a G-Protein coupled receptor (GPR30) which is expressed at high levels in the hippocampus (Brainiou et al., 2007). However, the role of this receptor remains unclear because rapid enhancements in recognition memory occur at levels of estradiol which are below its binding affinity for GPR30, and the binding affinity of various estrogens for GPR30 are not consistent with their effects on memory (Inagaki et al., 2012). However, GPR30 may collaborate with an ER to promote cell signaling (Langer et al., 2010).

In relation to the previous discussion of increased spines as a mechanism for enhancing memory consolidation, estrogen rapidly activates several signaling cascades involved in the formation of spines and in regulating spine/synapse size (McEwen et al., 2012; Srivastava, 2012; Kramar et al., 2013). Acting through membrane receptors, estradiol increases the phosphorylation of RAS/Raf, MEK, ERK and PI3K pathways which in turn increase phosphorylation of the transcription factor cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) (Fig. 2). CREB decreases transcription of Cofilin, which allows for increased polymerization of actin polymers for assembly of spines and for increased transcription of actin, and RhoA, which is necessary for filopodial extension and spine formation (Kramar et al., 2013; Luine and Frankfurt, 2012a,b). Phosphorylation of PI3K also leads to increases in Akt and 4E-BP1 which enhances PSD-95 formation, an integral framework for synapse formation (McEwen et al., 2012). Enhanced ERK/MAPK signaling by estradiol may also enhance memory consolidation by activation of epigenetic processes such as histone acetylation and DNA methylation that enhance the expression of genes and synthesis of proteins (Fortress and Frick, 2014). Specifically, a recent in vivo study showed that estrogen

Fig. 8. Photomicrograph of estradiol effects on secondary basal dendrites in CA1. A. Spine density is shown at 30 min following injection of vehicle. Arrows indicate spines on the secondary basal dendrites at ×100. B. Spine density is shown at 30 min following injections of 20 μg/kg of 17β-estradiol. Arrows indicate spines on the secondary basal dendrites at ×100. Reprinted with permission from Inagaki et al. (2012).
treatment increased dendritic spine number in the PFC as well as spinophilin expression (Khan et al., 2013). Follow up in vitro studies showed that estradiol enhanced AMPA GluR1 receptor expression and excitatory glutamatergic synapse formation through increased ERK and Akt signaling. Similar effects have been reported in the hippocampus using an in vivo approach; localized infusion of estradiol into CA1 enhanced recognition memory in an ERK dependent manner, and an mGluR1 antagonist blocked memory enhancements by estradiol, PPT (ERα agonist), or DPN (ERβ agonist) signaling that ER/mGluR signaling can mediate estradiol enhanced memory consolidation in the hippocampus (Boulware et al., 2013). Other mechanisms for enhanced memory consolidation in the hippocampus include estradiol-dependent increases of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) through activation of an estrogen response element on the BDNF gene, or through interactions with BDNF's membrane receptor (TrkB), or through other growth factor receptors in membranes (Luine and Frankfurt, 2013; Srivastava et al., 2013a). Growth factor receptor activation includes phosphorylation of PI3K and Akt with activation of mTOR which can directly increase protein synthesis or interact with ERK to alter transcription (Luine and Frankfurt, 2013; Srivastava et al., 2013a). Elegant behavioral and molecular studies by the Frick lab (reviewed in Frick, 2012) have put these observations together to show that systemic or intra-hippocampal estradiol enhances object and place memory consolidation in rats and that this effect is associated with rapid activations of NMDA receptors and multiple cell signaling cascades.

Thus, ample molecular and morphological evidence shows that estradiol causes both acute and chronic changes in a number of brain areas important for cognition. Important questions remaining are whether these effects are similar across the lifespan, from development through adulthood and aging, or whether they change. Most of the above studies were conducted in adult rodents. Differences in how estrogen interacts with and alters neural function over the lifespan can have an enormous impact for well-being as discussed in the next sections.

Synthesis of estradiol within brain areas

Evidence has accumulated that significant concentrations of estradiol are produced locally in discrete regions of the brain by the enzyme aromatase from androgen precursors such as testosterone and/or directly from cholesterol and that these levels are higher than in the circulation. Recently, Kato et al. (2013) reported that the concentration of 17β-estradiol was 4 nM in the hippocampus of a female rat during proestrus while circulating concentrations were only 0.1 nM. In addition, estradiol remained present in the hippocampus following ovariectomy. The in situ production of estradiol indicates that it may act as a neurosteroid as well as a gonadal steroid, and some evidence shows that it may be a neuromodulator (Balthazart and Ball, 2006; Saldanha et al., 2011). This work opens up a completely new area of investigation concerning the role of locally synthesized estrogens in neural function. Brain-synthesized estradiol was first measured in birds and has been recognized as a mediator of neural functions in regions of a number of avian species (Boon et al., 2010; Saldanha et al., 2011). In addition, in vitro studies report that hippocampal estradiol synthesis is important for the maintenance of hippocampal spines, synapses and synaptic proteins in cultures from rats (Hojo et al., 2004), and a recent in vivo study showed that hippocampal spine density correlated with hippocampal estradiol concentrations over the estrus cycle (Kato et al., 2013). Aromatase has been detected in human brain via immunocytochemistry, gene expression and in vivo PET scan studies (Biegou et al., 2010), but whether neurally derived estradiol plays a role in development or in neuromodulation is unexplored.

An important question for the present review is whether neurally derived estrogens contribute to learning and memory. Support for this idea comes from earlier studies showing that stimulation of hippocampal slices with NMMA significantly increases estradiol synthesis (Hojo et al., 2004) and that forebrain estradiol levels in songbirds are rapidly regulated by voltage-gated calcium channels (Remage-Healey et al., 2011). Direct support for hippocampal derived estradiol contributing to memory came in a recent zebra finch study which showed that local inhibition of hippocampal aromatization impaired spatial memory performance in an ecologically valid food-finding task (Bailey et al., 2013). Neural estradiol may also contribute to sex differences in cognitive responses to stress. Several laboratories have shown that chronic stress impairs spatial memory in male, but not female, rats (Luine et al., 2007), an effect which was attributed to neuroprotective effects of estrogens which are present in greater amounts in females than males. Surprisingly, ovariectomized rats showed preserved spatial memory on either the radial arm or Y maze following chronic stress (Bowman et al., 2002; McLaughlin et al., 2005). The resilience post-ovariectomy was, at the time of these experiments, attributed to possible enduring, organizational effects of estradiol on neural function.

A recent study has provided evidence for the possibility that neural synthesis of estradiol may mediate the resilience of females to stress and has extended the observation to the PFC (Wei et al., 2014). Male, but not female, rats were impaired by chronic stress on a temporal order recognition memory (TORM) task mediated by the prefrontal cortex through glutamate receptors. When aromatase was blocked and the females were stressed, TORM was impaired suggesting that estradiol synthesized in the PFC conferred stress resilience on the females. Thus, work in the stress field provides some evidence that de novo brain estradiol may contribute to normal memory formation. This provocative idea was previously advanced by Frick (2012) who speculated that estradiol, which is synthesized and released in response to specific learning events in the hippocampus, may facilitate normal learning and memory processes. Since estradiol has now been reported to be some forty times higher in the female rat hippocampus than in the circulation (Kato et al., 2013), estradiol does appear to be a neurosteroid in search of a function(s). This concept is further discussed in the section Novel strategies for estradiol replacement/treatments.

Cognitive loss during normal aging

Background

With advancing age, most aspects of cognitive function suffer some degree of deterioration, ranging from modest declines in healthy animals and humans to devastating losses in people with neurodegenerative diseases associated with aging like Alzheimer and Parkinson disease (Frick, 2009). Ascribing a specific role for estrogens or other parameters to age-related cognitive loss is difficult because all factors are confounded by the aging process itself. Nonetheless, it is notable that in post-menopausal women, gonadal hormone levels plummet to near undetectable levels, and in rats, after a period of persistent diestrus, levels also decline to near undetectable. Thus, estradiol, which tends to promote cognitive function, is extremely low at advanced ages in females.

Animal Studies

Most aging studies have used male rats or mice, but the few studies done in females also show age-related performance declines. Approximately 21–24 month old female rats show impairments in relation to 2–4 month old young females on most spatial memory tasks including Y and T mazes (Aggleton et al., 1989), radial arm maze (Luine et al., 1990; see also Fig. 4), Morris water maze (Markowska, 1999; Veng et al., 2003), water radial arm maze (Bimonte et al., 2003), Barnes maze (Barrett et al., 2009) and object placement (Luine et al., 2011). Performance on the non-hippocampal dependent task, object recognition, also show declines with aging (Wallace et al., 2008). As indicated earlier, female rodents show age related declines in spatial memory tasks sooner than males (Markowska, 1999; Frick et al., 2000).
Measurement of spine densities in the PFC and hippocampus show that densities in aged female rats are 16% lower, as compared to young rats, in apical dendrites of the PFC and CA1 but not different in basal dendrites or in either dendritic tree of CA3 (Reviewed in Luine and Frankfurt, 2012b). This decline with aging is smaller than the decline in density following ovariectomy (Wallace et al., 2006). Small synapses, as compared to large synapses, appear to be lost with aging (Bloss et al., 2013). Overall, studies indicate that aging in female rats is accompanied by losses in memory abilities, neural spines, reorganization of synapses and lower estradiol levels. Whether there is a causal relationship among the variables still requires further analyses and investigation.

**Human studies and the critical period hypothesis**

During the 1980’s and 1990’s estradiol administration was shown in several observational studies to promote memory during aging and to possibly delay the onset and/or prevent Alzheimer’s disease. However, several larger and longer trials of HRT in women suffering from Alzheimer’s disease found no cognitive benefits, and this area of work is no longer being actively pursued (reviewed in Luine, 2008). With regard to normal aging and menopause, the eagerly anticipated, large scale, double-blind placebo controlled Women’s Health Initiative Study (WHI) conducted by the National Institutes of Health found that hormone therapy with CEE (not estradiol) (Espeland et al., 2004) or CEE plus MPA (Schumaker et al., 2003) given to women aged 65 years and older and at least 15 years past normal menopause, did not improve cognitive functioning and slightly increased the risk of dementia (reviewed in Luine, 2008; Frick, 2009). Thus, promotion of cognitive function by estradiol during aging was also not supported. However, some previous observational studies of menopausal women had shown that estradiol treatment was associated with better verbal memory, working memory, and visuospatial function, and with a lower risk of dementia, but these results were found particularly among women who had initiated treatment during, or soon after, the menopause (studies reviewed in Frick, 2009). In addition, randomized clinical trials with 17β-estradiol near the onset of menopause also showed beneficial effects of treatment on verbal and working memory (Joffe et al., 2006; Phillips and Sherwin, 1992; Viscoli et al., 2005; Wolf et al., 1999).

Since women in the WHI trial were at least fifteen years past menopause when treatments were initiated, several investigators posited that a critical period or window of opportunity may exist for positive estrogen effects (Resnick and Henderson, 2002; Sherwin, 2012; Singh et al., 2013; Maki, 2013). Thus, in order for estrogens to exert positive effects on neural functioning, hormonal replacements must be given close to the initiation of menopause. In addition, some evidence indicated that even a short period of treatment, given around menopause, might confer long term benefits.

Some evidence for the critical period hypothesis in rodents was already extant (Gibbs, 2000), and several groups have provided new cognitive and neural evidence supporting the hypothesis (see Daniel, 2013 for review). In short, if rats receive estrogen replacements immediately after ovariectomy at middle age, even for only a short period, they show superior learning and memory in old age as compared to subjects ovariectomized at the same time but receiving no estrogen and show similar learning and memory abilities as ovariectomized rats that receive continuous estrogen replacement.

---

**Fig. 9.** Estradiol treatment at middle age enhances memory in old age — evidence for the critical period hypothesis. Results show that the enhancing effects on memory of previous midlife estradiol treatment are comparable to ongoing estradiol treatment in aging ovariectomized rats. Middle-aged rats were ovariectomized and received implants resulting in continuous cholesterol control treatment (Cont Ch), continuous estradiol treatment (Cont E), or 40 days of prior exposure to estradiol treatment that was terminated before testing (Prior E). Rats were tested every other month on a working memory task in an eight-arm radial maze during which 1 min and 2.5 h delays were imposed between the 4th and 5th arm choices. Mean number of total retroactive errors (± SEM) averaged across delay trials one (A), three (B), five (C), and seven (D) months after termination of estradiol treatment in the Prior E group. *p < .05 vs. Cont Ch.

Adapted from Rodgers et al. (2010).
This enduring enhancement of spatial memory in middle-aged rats by estradiol given for a short period after ovariectomy is illustrated in Fig. 9. The mechanism(s) responsible for this apparent change in responsivity to estradiol during the menopausal period is currently unknown. A simple answer is that continuous, unopposed estradiol (no progesterone) has different effects than naturally cycling effects, but no evidence for or against this idea has emerged. Another mechanism for this time limited estrogen effect is changes in ERα expression (Daniel, 2013; Schreierohe and Ma, 2013; Witt et al., 2012). Aged females show greater decreases in this receptor with a specific 50% reduction in CA1 synapses which contain ERα immunoreactivity (Adams et al., 2002). Moreover, estrogen increases ERα levels in young but not aged rats (Adams et al., 2002), and, interestingly, long-term ovarian hormone deprivation in middle-aged rats attenuated the ability of subsequent estradiol treatment to increase levels of ERα (Bohacek and Daniel, 2009). Like the behavioral findings, only ten days of treatment initiated at the time of ovariectomy was sufficient to significantly increase levels of ERα in the hippocampus, but treatment initiated 5 months later was not. Yet, it is still unclear why lowered ERα levels would lead to unresponsivity to estrogens and/or negative effects (WHI trial). ERβ appears to act, in concert with estradiol, as a negative regulator of ERα-mediated transcription which may contribute to unresponsivity (Bean et al., 2014). Gene expression in the hippocampus after estradiol treatment to young as compared to middle-aged mice shows a different pattern of change which may also contribute to mechanisms underlying the critical period (Aenile and Foster, 2010). Taking these observations together Foster (2012) indicates that an age-related shift in ERα/ERβ expression, combined with declining gonadal estradiol, may impact estrogen’s effects on transcription, cell signaling, neuroprotection, and neuronal growth leading to different effects than those which occur prior to aging. Also related to the concept of aberrant receptor function is the hypothesis that increased levels of ER polymorphisms with aging could contribute to negative effects of estradiol.

In summary, in rats, at least, there appears to be a time defined window where estradiol acts to mitigate age-related cognitive declines and changes in the neural underpinnings of the effects, but exactly how this regulation occurs and how this knowledge might be therapeutically translated (other than by appropriate estradiol treatments) is unclear at this time.

Investigation of the critical period hypothesis is more difficult in women than in rats, but the limited results thus far provide both positive and negative data (Sherwin, 2012; Maki et al., 2011; Maki, 2013; Grodstein, 2013). One older study evaluated women who had undergone bilateral oophorectomy prior to the onset of natural menopause and who had either used or never used estrogen replacement therapy (ET) until age 50 (Rocca et al., 2007). The never use group had an increased risk of cognitive impairment or dementia 30 years later as compared with referent women while users had no increased risk of cognitive impairment or dementia which suggests that ET initiated immediately following a surgical menopause for several years has an enduring effect 30 years later. In another study, young (ages 50–62) and older (ages 70–81) postmenopausal women, who received estradiol or placebo for three months, were administered a cholinergic challenge and tested for verbal memory and attention (Dumas et al., 2008). Estradiol pretreatment significantly attenuated the drug-induced impairments only in the younger group which supports the concept of a critical period. Bagger et al. (2005) also found that treatment with ET for 2 to 3 years around menopause decreased risk of cognitive impairments 5–15 years later by 64% as compared with those who received placebo. Thus, these findings support the idea that 2–3 years of ET, initiated closely in time to the menopause may confer enduring cognitive protection. In contrast, results of other studies have not supported the critical period hypothesis, and it is notable that CEE was utilized in most.

(Espeland et al., 2013), from the WHIMS Study Group, gave additional cognitive testing to selected women in the WHI trial who were closer to menopause, aged 50–55, than other WHI participants when they received CEE HRT. The women received HRT for 7 years and were tested approximately 7.2 years after cessation of HRT, at approximately 67 years of age. For the primary outcome, cognitive function and the secondary outcomes of verbal memory, attention, executive function, verbal fluency and working memory, there was no benefit or any increased risk. Hogervorst and Bandelow (2010) performed a meta-analysis of 36 randomized treatment trials (various estrogen formulations) and found that neither age of the women nor duration of time elapsed when treatment was initiated since menopause significantly affected the cognitive outcome. Outcomes in the Kronos Early Estrogen Prevention Study (KEEPs) which randomized postmenopausal women from 42 to 59 years to low dose oral or transdermal estradiol (as compared to CEE in the WHI trials) combined with progesterone or placebo for up to 4 years is awaited (Wharton et al., 2013). It is notable that results for coronary heart disease are consistent with the critical period hypothesis as the WHI provided initial indication of decreased heart disease risk in younger women, and other studies show decreased total myocardial infarction risk and significant reductions in atherosclerosis progression with CEE treatment (Grodstein, 2013). Thus, whether there is a critical period in women for estradiol’s enduring maintenance of cognitive function awaits verification by ongoing and future studies.

The future of research on estrogen treatments for women suffering from memory loss and other symptoms due to premature menopause (oophorectomy), menopause or aging should not necessarily rest on the outcome(s) of experiments testing the critical period hypothesis. If outcomes are positive, then estradiol replacement should be actively given around menopause, but this outcome does not preclude the use of newer and more effective HRTs. It is important to note that Premarin was approved by the FDA for use in postmenopausal women in 1942 (Stefanick, 2005) and that no new estrogenic treatments for menopause have been implemented since that time. New doses of Premarin and transdermal patches containing estradiol have been brought to market but no novel compounds. If ongoing studies do not support the critical period hypothesis, then research to understand why estrogens no longer have positive impacts on neural function after the menopause would be imperative, and the necessity of newer treatment strategies and/or drugs would become paramount. Thus, research must continue and translational strategies should be expanded in order to maximize positive effects of estrogens on neural function.

Novel strategies for estradiol replacement/treatments

Research over the last twenty-five years has established that estradiol influences cognitive function, but a surprising development has been the expansion of possible mechanisms for estrogen’s actions. New receptors have been identified which can mediate genomic (ERs) and non-genomic changes (membrane receptors). In addition, estradiol has also been identified to be a neurosteroid and most likely a neuromodulator. Thus, understanding which mechanism(s) contribute to cognition has become more challenging. Another complication for research is that it appears that effects of estrogens may change over the lifespan. This is especially critical for aging where it appears that estradiol’s positive effects are lessened as compared to its effects in adulthood, and, in some cases, estrogens may even cause detrimental effects. Thus, more basic research is critical, especially in aged models, in order to understand how to maintain cognitive function during this transition.

In relation to HRT therapies, it was noted above that Premarin has been in use for HRT for approximately 75 years and that no new treatment options are currently available to women. In the 1990’s drug development focused on synthesis of selective estrogen receptor modulators compounds (SERMs) which would act as agonists in bone for treatment of osteoporosis and also mitigate hot flashes but not bind in the
uterus or breasts to cause cancer; cognitive issues did not appear to be a focus at that time. First and second generation SERMS, Tamoxifen andRaloxifene, respectively, were relatively successful as agonists in bone and antagonists in mammary tissue (MacLusky, 1997). Thus, they were useful for treating osteoporosis and as an adjuvant therapy for estrogen receptor positive breast cancers, but their mixed agonist/antagonist properties limited their use. Thus, it was a research milestone when ERβ was discovered (Kuiper et al., 1997), and more receptor specific SERMs were synthesized (Minutolo et al., 2011). Since ERβ is present in the brain but in only minimum levels in the uterus and breasts, ERβ specific SERMS held great promise as HRTs because of expected minimal side effects. Several, DPN, C19 and WAY-200070, enhanced memory in animal models (see the section Human studies for details). Unfortunately, estrogen therapy is currently not approved by the US FDA for the prevention of cardiovascular disease or dementia. These drugs have not yet come to market, but ERβ specific receptor agonists still hold potential for treating certain cancers, as well as endometriosis, inflammatory diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, cardiovascular and CNS conditions (Minutolo et al., 2011). A novel phytoestrogen formulation, referred to as the Phyto-β-SERM formulation, prolonged survival, improved spatial recognition memory, and slowed progression of amyloid pathology in a female mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease and is currently undergoing a trial in women where cognition will be evaluated (Zhao et al., 2013). Finally, Bazedoxifene, a third generation SERM, in combination with conjugated estrogens came to market in January 2014 (www.Duavee.com) for preventing moderate to severe hot flashes and osteoporosis in post-menopausal women. Due to the estrogen agonist/antagonist profile of Bazedoxifene, this drug is expected to have fewer side effects than previous SERMS.

Another more recently described target for drug development is the “Downstream Molecule” concept advanced by Frick (2012). In this model, neuronal molecules and cellular mechanisms, which are downstream from hormone receptors and underlie the memory-enhancing effects of estradiol, would be targeted (see the section Synthesis of estradiol within brain areas). Such drugs would interact with cellular signaling molecules rather than the receptors themselves and thus should mimic the beneficial, but not the deleterious, effects of estrogens because they would be non-steroidal in nature. It is also possible that this “cocktail” of estradiol and such drugs may be required in order to overcome aging effects.

A final approach for drug development is intra-neuronal modulation of estrogen production and release. Increased availability of brain derived estradiol should be beneficial for cognitive functioning. Currently drugs are available to inhibit aromatase and lower estradiol levels but none activate aromatase. Allosteric activation of the enzyme itself or increased synthesis of the enzyme protein could increase local estradiol production and be beneficial for cognition. Possible side effects of increased aromatase are currently not known.

Conclusions

The field of hormonal modulation of learning and memory has advanced enormously since Hormone and Behavior’s landmark volume on Estrogen Effects on Cognition across The Lifespan in 1998. However, it is clear that the breadth and depth of new developments concerning neural mechanisms for estradiol action as well as the dearth of new HRTs indicate that researchers will continue to be engaged in this area of neuroendocrine research in the near and far future.
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